

STEVEN M. WATT* swatt@aclu.org
BEN WIZNER (SBN 215724) bwizner@aclu.org
JAMEEL JAFFER* jjaffer@aclu.org
STEVEN R. SHAPIRO* sshapiro@aclu.org
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION FOUNDATION
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
New York, NY 10014
Tel. 212.549-2500 / Fax 212.549.2651

ANN BRICK (SBN 65296) abrick@aclunc.org
ACLU FOUNDATION OF
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA
39 Drumm Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel. 415.621.2493 / Fax 415.255.1478

Additional Counsel Listed on Next Page

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

**BINYAM MOHAMED;
ABOU ELKASSIM BRITEL;
AHMED AGIZA;
MOHAMED FARAG AHMAD
BASHMILAH;
BISHER AL-RAWI,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

JEPPESEN DATAPLAN, INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 5:07-cv-02798 (JW)

PROPOSED ORDER

CLIVE STAFFORD SMITH*† clivess@mac.com
ZACHARY KATZNELSON† (SBN 209489) zachary@reprieve.org.uk
REPRIEVE
PO Box 52742
London EC4P 4WS
England
Tel. +44 (0)207 353 4640 / Fax +44 (0)207 353 4641

PAUL HOFFMAN (SBN 71244) hoffpaul@aol.com
SCHONBRUN DESIMONE SEPLOW
HARRIS & HOFFMAN LLP
732 Ocean Front Walk, Suite 100
Venice, CA 90291
Tel 310.999.7040, ext. 4 / Fax 310.999.7040

HOPE METCALF* hope.metcalf@yale.edu
NATIONAL LITIGATION PROJECT
ALLARD K. LOWENSTEIN INTERNATIONAL
HUMAN RIGHTS CLINIC
YALE LAW SCHOOL
127 Wall Street
New Haven, CT 06520
Tel. 203.432.9404 / Fax 203.432.9128

MARGARET L. SATTERTHWAITE*++ satterth@juris.law.nyu.edu
INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS CLINIC
WASHINGTON SQUARE LEGAL SERVICES, INC.
NEW YORK UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW
245 Sullivan Street
New York, NY 10012
Tel. 212-998-6657 / Fax 212-995-4031

**Attorneys for Plaintiffs BINYAM MOHAMED, ABOU ELKASSIM BRITEL, AHMED
AGIZA, MOHAMED FARAG AHMAD BASHMILAH, and BISHER AL-RAWI**

*** Admitted Pro Hac Vice**

† Attorneys for and on behalf of Plaintiff BINYAM MOHAMED only

**++ Attorney for and on behalf of Plaintiff MOHAMED FARAG AHMAD
BASHMILAH only**

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The United States in the above-captioned action has moved the Court for an order dismissing this action or, in the alternative, for summary judgment.

The Court having considered the United States' Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' opposition thereto, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the United States' Motion is DENIED.

Dated: _____

Judge J. Ware
United States District Chief Judge